

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
ORLANDO DIVISION**

Klayman

CASE NO. 5:13-CV-00143-ACC-PRL

v.

City Pages, et. al.

**CASE MANAGEMENT REPORT**

The parties have agreed on the following dates and discovery plan pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f) and Local Rule 3.05(c):

<b>DEADLINE OR EVENT</b>	<b>AGREED DATE</b>
<b>Mandatory Initial Disclosures (pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1) as amended effective December 1, 2000) [Court recommends 30 days after CMR meeting]</b>	<b>10/15/2013</b>
<b>Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement [each party who has not previously filed must file immediately]</b>	<b>Both parties have filed.</b>
<b>Motions to Add Parties or to Amend Pleadings [Court recommends 1 - 2 months after CMR meeting]</b>	<b>12/12/2013</b>
<b>Disclosure of Expert Reports [Court recommends 1 - 2 months before discovery deadline to allow expert depositions]</b>	<b>Plaintiff: 07/22/2014 Defendant: 08/05/2014</b>
<b>Discovery Deadline [Court recommends 6 months before trial to allow time for dispositive motions to be filed and decided; all discovery must be commenced in time to be completed before this date]</b>	<b>09/22/2014</b>
<b>Dispositive Motions, <i>Daubert</i>, and <i>Markman</i> Motions [Court requires 5 months or more before trial term begins]</b>	<b>10/20/2014</b>
<b>Joint Final Pretrial Statement (<i>Including</i> a Single Set of Jointly-Proposed Jury Instructions and Verdict Form (with diskette), Voir Dire Questions, Witness Lists, Exhibit Lists</b>	<b>02/05/2015</b>

DEADLINE OR EVENT	AGREED DATE
with Objections on Approved Form) [Court recommends 6 weeks before Trial] with Objections on Approved Form) 02/05/2015 [Court recommends 6 weeks before Trial	
with Objections on Approved Form) [Court recommends 6 weeks before Trial	02/05/2015
All Other Motions Including Motions <i>In Limine</i> , Trial Briefs	02/26/2015
Trial Term Begins [Local Rule 3.05 (c)(2)(E) sets goal of trial within 2 years of filing complaint in all Track Two cases; trial term <i>must not</i> be less than 5 months after dispositive motions deadline (unless filing of such motions is waived); district judge trial terms begin on the first business day of each month; trials before magistrate judges will be set on a date certain after consultation with the parties]	03/23/2015
Estimated Length of Trial [trial days]	10 days
Jury / Non-Jury	Jury
<p>Mediation</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Deadline: Mediator: Address:  Telephone:</p> <p>[Absent arbitration, mediation is <i>mandatory</i>; Court recommends either 2 - 3 months after CMR meeting, or just after discovery deadline]</p>	<p>03/15/2014 Winston T. Churchill, II, 1135 S Pasadena Ave - Ste 108 St. Petersburg, FL 33707 (727) 343-1056</p>
All Parties Consent to Proceed Before Magistrate Judge	<p>Yes _____ No <u>  X  </u></p> <p>Likely to Agree in Future _____</p>

**I. Meeting of Parties**

Lead counsel must meet *in person* and not by telephone absent an order permitting otherwise. Counsel will meet in the Middle District of Florida, unless counsel agree on a different location. Pursuant to Local Rule 3.05(c)(2)(B) or (c)(3)(A),<sup>1</sup> a meeting was held in person on 10/08/2013 (date) at (time) 4:00pm to 5:00pm at the offices of Defendant's counsel (place) and was attended by:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Counsel for (if applicable)</u>
Larry Klayman	Pro se
Sanford Lewis Bohrer	Defendants

**II. Pre-Discovery Initial Disclosures of Core Information**

**Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1)(A) - (D) Disclosures**

Fed.R.Civ.P. 26, as amended effective December 1, 2000, provides that these disclosures are mandatory in Track Two and Track Three cases, except as stipulated by the parties or otherwise ordered by the Court (the amendment to Rule 26 supersedes Middle District of Florida Local Rule 3.05, to the extent that Rule 3.05 opts out of the mandatory discovery requirements):

The parties \_\_\_\_ have exchanged  X  agree to exchange (check one) information described in Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1)(A) - (D) by 10/15/2013 (date).

---

<sup>1</sup>A copy of the Local Rules may be viewed at <http://www.flmd.uscourts.gov>.

**Below is a description of information disclosed or scheduled for disclosure, including electronically stored information as further discussed in Section III below.**

**III. Electronic Discovery**

**The parties have discussed issues relating to disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information ("ESI"), including Pre-Discovery Initial Disclosures of Core Information in Section II above, and agree that (check one):**

**X no party anticipates the disclosure or discovery of ESI in this case;**

**one or more of the parties anticipate the disclosure or discovery of ESI in this case.**

**If disclosure or discovery of ESI is sought by any party from another party, then the following issues shall be discussed:<sup>2</sup>**

---

<sup>2</sup> See Generally: *Rules Advisory Committee Notes* to the 2006 Amendments to Rule 26(f) and Rule 16.

**B. Nature and extent of the contemplated ESI disclosure and discovery, including specification of the topics for such discovery and the time period for which discovery will be sought.**

**C. Whether the production of metadata is sought for any type of ESI, and if so, what types of metadata.**

**D. The various sources of ESI within a party's control that should be searched for ESI, and whether either party has relevant ESI that it contends is not reasonably accessible under Rule 26(b)(2)(B), and if so, the estimated burden or costs of retrieving and reviewing that information.**

**E. The characteristics of the party's information systems that may contain relevant ESI, including, where appropriate, the identity of individuals with special knowledge of a party's computer systems.**

**F. Any issues relating to preservation of discoverable ESI.**

**G. Assertions of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation materials, including whether the parties can facilitate discovery by agreeing on procedures and, if appropriate, an Order under Federal Rules of Evidence Rule 502. If the parties agree that a protective order is needed, they shall attach a copy of the proposed order to the Case Management Report. The parties should attempt to agree on protocols that minimize the risk of**

on Confidentiality Agreements.

H. Whether the discovery of ESI should be conducted in phases, limited, or focused upon particular issues.

Please state if there are any areas of disagreement on these issues and, if so, summarize the parties' positions on each:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

If there are disputed issues specified above, or elsewhere in this report, then (check one):

one or more of the parties requests that a preliminary pre-trial conference under Rule 16 be scheduled to discuss these issues and explore possible resolutions. Although this will be a non-evidentiary hearing, if technical ESI issues are to be addressed, the parties are encouraged to have their information technology experts with them at the hearing.

If a preliminary pre-trial conference is requested, a motion shall also be filed pursuant to Rule 16(a), Fed.R.Civ.P.

all parties agree that a hearing is not needed at this time because they expect to be able to promptly resolve these disputes without assistance of the Court.

IV. Agreed Discovery Plan for Plaintiffs and Defendants

A. Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement —

**This Court has previously ordered each party, governmental party, intervenor,**

**non-party movant, and Rule 69 garnishee to file and serve a Certificate of Interested**

**Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement using a mandatory form. No party may**

**seek discovery from any source before filing and serving a Certificate of Interested Persons**

**and Corporate Disclosure Statement. A motion, memorandum, response, or other paper**

**— including emergency motion — is subject to being denied or stricken unless the**

**filing party has previously filed and served its Certificate of Interested Persons and**

**Corporate Disclosure Statement. Any party who has not already filed and served the**

**required certificate is required to do so immediately.**

**Every party that has appeared in this action to date has filed and served a  
Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement, which remains  
current:**

  X   Yes

\_\_\_\_\_ No

**Amended Certificate will be filed by**

\_\_\_\_\_ (party) on or before

\_\_\_\_\_ (date).

**The parties shall not file discovery materials with the Clerk except as provided in Local Rule 3.03. The Court encourages the exchange of discovery requests on diskette. See Local Rule 3.03 (f). The parties further agree as follows:**

**C. Limits on Discovery —**

**Absent leave of Court, the parties may take no more than ten depositions per side (not per party). Fed.R.Civ.P. 30(a)(2)(A); Fed.R.Civ.P. 31(a)(2)(A); Local Rule 3.02(b). Absent leave of Court, the parties may serve no more than twenty-five interrogatories, including sub-parts. Fed.R.Civ.P. 33(a); Local Rule 3.03(a). Absent leave of Court or stipulation of the parties each deposition is limited to one day of seven hours. Fed.R.Civ.P. 30(d)(2). The parties may agree by stipulation on other limits on discovery. The Court will consider the parties' agreed dates, deadlines, and other limits in entering the scheduling order. Fed.R.Civ.P. 29. In addition to the deadlines in the above table, the parties have agreed to further limit discovery as follows:**

**1. Depositions**

**Ten depositions per side**

**2. Interrogatories**

**Twenty-five interrogatories, including sub-parts**

**3. Document Requests**



**5. Supplementation of Discovery**

**D. Discovery Deadline —**

Each party shall timely serve discovery requests so that the rules allow for a response prior to the discovery deadline. The Court may deny as untimely all motions to compel filed after the discovery deadline. In addition, the parties agree as follows:

**E. Disclosure of Expert Testimony —**

On or before the dates set forth in the above table for the disclosure of expert reports, the parties agree to fully comply with Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2) and 26(e). Expert testimony on direct examination at trial will be limited to the opinions, basis, reasons, data, and other information disclosed in the written expert report disclosed pursuant to this order. Failure to disclose such information may result in the exclusion of all or part of the testimony of the expert witness. The parties agree on the following additional matters pertaining to the disclosure of expert testimony:

**F. Confidentiality Agreements —**

Whether documents filed in a case may be filed under seal is a separate issue from whether the parties may agree that produced documents are confidential. The Court is a public forum, and disfavors motions to file under seal. The Court will permit the parties to file documents under seal only upon a finding of extraordinary circumstances and particularized need. *See Brown v. Advantage Engineering, Inc.*, 960 F.2d 1013 (11th Cir. 1992); *Wilson v. American Motors Corp.*, 759 F.2d 1568 (11th Cir. 1985). A party seeking to file a document under seal must file a motion to file under seal requesting such Court

denied, will remain in the public record.

The parties may reach their own agreement regarding the designation of materials as “confidential.” There is no need for the Court to endorse the confidentiality agreement. The Court discourages unnecessary stipulated motions for a protective order. The Court will enforce appropriate stipulated and signed confidentiality agreements. See Local Rule 4.15. Each confidentiality agreement or order shall provide, or shall be deemed to provide, that “no party shall file a document under seal without first having obtained an order granting leave to file under seal on a showing of particularized need.”

With respect to confidentiality agreements, the parties agree as follows:

The parties will discuss possibly entering into a confidential protective order.

**G. Other Matters Regarding Discovery —**

**IV. Settlement and Alternative Dispute Resolution.**

**A. Settlement —**

The parties agree that settlement is

\_\_\_\_\_ likely   X   unlikely (check one)

The parties request a settlement conference before a United States Magistrate Judge.

\_\_\_\_\_ yes   X   no \_\_\_\_\_ likely to request in future

**B. Arbitration —**

parties may elect arbitration in any case. Do the parties agree to arbitrate?

\_\_\_\_\_ yes                        X   no                      \_\_\_\_\_ likely to agree in future

\_\_\_\_\_ Binding                      \_\_\_\_\_ Non-Binding

**C. Mediation —**

Absent arbitration or a Court order to the contrary, the parties in every case will participate in Court-annexed mediation as detailed in Chapter Nine of the Court's Local Rules. The parties have agreed on a mediator from the Court's approved list of mediators as set forth in the table above, and have agreed to the date stated in the table above as the last date for mediation. The list of mediators is available from the Clerk, and is posted on the Court's web site at <http://www.flmd.uscourts.gov>.

**D. Other Alternative Dispute Resolution —**

The parties intend to pursue the following other methods of alternative dispute resolution:

Date:   10/10/2013  

Signature of Counsel (with information required by Local Rule 1.05(d)) and Signature of Unrepresented Parties.

/s/ Larry Klayman  
LARRY KLAYMAN  
Florida Bar No. 246220  
2775 NW 49th Ave, Suite 205-346  
Ocala, FL 34483  
(310) - 595-0800  
leklayman@gmail.com

Plaintiff Pro Se

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP  
701 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3000  
Miami, Florida 33131  
(305) 374-8500 (telephone)  
(305) 789-7799 (facsimile)

By: /s/ Sanford L. Bohrer  
(signed with permission)

Sanford L. Bohrer (FBN 160643)  
Scott D. Ponce (FBN 0169528)  
Email: sbohrer@hklaw.com  
Email: sponce@hklaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 10th day of October, 2013 a true and correct copy of the foregoing Case Management Report ( Case No. 5:13-cv-00143) was submitted electronically to the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida and served via CM/ECF upon the following:

**Sanford Lewis Bohrer**  
Holland & Knight, LLP  
Suite 3000  
701 Brickell Ave  
Miami, FL 33131  
305/374-8500  
Fax: 305/789-7799  
Email: sbohrer@hkllaw.com

**Scott D. Ponce**  
Holland & Knight, LLP  
Suite 3000  
701 Brickell Ave  
Miami, FL 33131  
305/789-7575  
Email: sponce@hkllaw.com

*Attorneys for Defendants*

/s/ Larry Klayman  
Larry Klayman, Esq.